arXiv:0810.4879v1 [math.DG] 27 Oct 2008

THE PROFILE OF BUBBLING SOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF FOURTH ORDER GEOMETRIC EQUATIONS ON 4-MANIFOLDS

GILBERT WEINSTEIN AND LEI ZHANG

Abstract. We study a class of fourth order geometric equations defined on a 4-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold which includes the Q-curvature equation. We obtain sharp estimates on the difference near the blow-up points between a bubbling sequence of solutions and the standard bubble.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold. The *conformal class* of g consists of all metrics $\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g$ for any smooth function u. A central theme in conformal geometry is the study of properties that are common to all metrics in the same conformal class, and the understanding and classification of all the conformal classes. For this purpose it is often useful to be able to single out a unique representative in each conformal class by imposing some geometric condition. This usually leads to a conformally covariant geometric equation for the conformal factor e^{2u}. Such equations have attracted much interest in the literature in the past half-century.

In dimension 2, the natural condition to impose is constant Gauss curvature. The Poincaré Uniformization Theorem states that this is always possible: *every compact Riemannian surface is conformal to one with constant Gauss curvature.* The conformally covariant operator in this case is the Laplacian-Beltrami operator, given in local coordinates by:

$$\Delta_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_i \left(\sqrt{\det g}\, g^{ij} \partial_j \right),$$

and the equation for constant curvature is:

$$-\Delta_g u + \kappa_g = \kappa_{\tilde{g}} e^{2u}$$

where κ_g is the Gauss curvature of g, and $\kappa_{\tilde{g}}$ is constant. Using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we see that the sign of $\kappa_{\tilde{g}}$ is determined by χ_M the Euler

---

Date: October 27, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60, 53B21.

Key words and phrases. Paneitz Operator, conformally invariant equations, blowup analysis, fourth order equation, Q-curvature.

Lei Zhang is supported by National Science Foundation Grant 0600275 (0810902).

characteristic of M:

$$2\pi\chi_M = \int_M \kappa_g \, dA_g = \kappa_{\tilde{g}} \int_M e^{2u} \, dA_g,$$

where dA_g is the area element of g. Although this result was originally proved by Poincaré using non-PDE methods, there is now a PDE proof, see [11] and [37]. Furthermore, the operator Δ_g is conformally convariant $\Delta_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-2u}\Delta_g$.

For compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$, a natural generalization is to impose constant scalar curvature. This leads to the Yamabe problem: *given a compact Riemannian manifold* (M, g) *of dimension at least* 3*, find a metric conformal to* g *with constant scalar curvature.* This was also eventually answered in the affirmative, see [39, 34, 2, 32]. The corresponding operator is now the conformal Laplacian $L_g = \Delta_g - c_n R_g$, $c_n = (n-2)/4(n-1)$, and the equation for constant scalar curvature is the Yamabe equation:

$$L_g \phi = \epsilon \phi^{(n+2)/(n-2)}$$

where $\phi^{4/(n-2)} = e^{2u}$, and ϵ is 1, 0, or -1. The operator L_g also has a conformal covariant property:

$$L_{\tilde{g}} \phi = \phi^{-(n+2)/(n-2)} L_g \phi,$$

where $\tilde{g} = \phi^{4/(n-2)} g$.

In 4-d, another problem analogous to the 2-d case arises from imposing the condition of constant Q-curvature:

$$Q_g = -\frac{1}{12}(\Delta_g R_g - R_g^2 + 3|\operatorname{Ric}_g|^2).$$

The natural question is the same: *given a* 4*-d compact Riemannian manifold* (M, g)*, is there a metric* $\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g$ *in the conformal class of* g *with constant* Q*-curvature?* The Q-curvature of the metric $\tilde{g}$ is given by:

$$P_g u + 2Q_g = 2Q_{\tilde{g}} e^{4u},$$

where P_g is the Paneitz operator:

$$P_g u = \Delta_g^2 u + \operatorname{div}_g \left(\left(\frac{2}{3} R_g g - 2 \operatorname{Ric}_g \right) \nabla u \right).$$

Integrating with respect to the volume element dV_g, it is easy to see that the quantity:

$$k_P = \int_M Q_g \, dV_g$$

is a conformal invariant, i.e., it is constant in the conformal class of g. Furthermore, we also have a Gauss-Bonnet formula:

$$\int_M \left(Q_g + \frac{1}{8} |W_g|^2 \right) dV_g = 4\pi^2 \chi_M,$$

where W_g is the Weyl tensor of g given in local coordinates as:

$$W_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} - \frac{2}{n-2}(g_{i[k}R_{l]j} - g_{j[k}R_{l]i}) + \frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)} R g_{a[k} g_{l]j}.$$

We note that W_g is pointwise conformally invariant $W_{\tilde{g}} = W_g$, and the operator P_g is conformally covariant:

$$P_{\tilde{g}} f = e^{-4u} P_g f. \tag{1.1}$$

We use (P) to denote the assumption:

$$\mathrm{Ker}(P_g) = \{\text{constants}\}. \tag{P}$$

We remark that (P) is often satisfied. For example, Gursky in [17] proved that if (M, g) has non-negative Yamabe invariant $Y_g \geq 0$, and satisfies $k_P \geq 0$, then (P) holds and $P_g \geq 0$; see also [18] where the assumptions are weakened to $Y_g \geq 0$ and $k_P + Y_g^2/6 > 0$.

Chang and Yang proved in [9] that if $k_P < 8\pi^2$, $P_g \geq 0$ and (P) holds, then there is a conformal metric $\tilde{g}$ whose Q-curvature is constant. In [13], Djadli and Malchiodi extended this existence result assuming only that (P) holds and $k_P \neq 8\pi^2 N$ for any positive integer N. An essential ingredient in this existence result is an a priori bound: if $k_P \neq 8\pi^2 N$ for any positive integer N, then any sequence of solutions of the prescribed Q-curvature equation is uniformly bounded. In fact, this a priori estimate can be extended to the following more general equation in the same class:

$$P_g u + 2b = 2he^{4u}, \tag{1.2}$$

where b is a smooth function. Note that if $b = Q_g$, then h is the Q-curvature of the conformal metric $e^{2u}g$. Assuming $h_k \to h_0$, $h_k \geq c_0 > 0$, and $b_k \to b_0$, Druet and Robert in [15] showed that any sequence of solutions $\{u_k\}$ of (1.2) with $h = h_k$ and $b = b_k$ is uniformly bounded, provided $\int_M b_0 \neq 8\pi^2 N$, see also Malchiodi [25], .

However, bubbling can occur when $\int_M b_0 \, dV_g = 8\pi^2 N$ for some positive integer N. A precise understanding of this bubbling phenomenon is required if progress is to be made on the existence problem. The study of the blow-up profile and other blow-up phenomena for the Paneitz operator and other 4-th order elliptic equations has attracted much interest recently; see for example [1, 4, 8, 12, 16, 19, 22, 26, 27, 28, 30, 33, 35, 36].

Let $\{u_k\}$ be a sequence of solutions of (1.2) with $h = h_k$, and $b = b_k$. We say that this is a *bubbling sequence* if $\sup |u_k| \to \infty$. In [15], Druet and Robert studied bubbling sequences of solutions of (1.2) and obtained some asymptotic estimates on the behavior near the blow-up points. We will throughout make the following assumptions on the coefficients b_k and h_k:

$$\|b_k - b_0\|_{C^1(M)} \to 0, \qquad \|h_k - h_0\|_{C^2(M)} \to 0, \qquad h_k \geq c_0. \tag{b, h}$$

It follows immediately that $\|b_k\|_{C^1(M)} \leq C_0$, and $\|h_k\|_{C^2(M)} \leq C_0$ for some constant C_0 independent of k. We let G denote the Green's function for the

Paneitz operator:

$$f(\xi) - \bar{f}_g = \int_M G(\xi,\eta)\, P_g f(\eta)\, dV_g(\eta), \qquad \int_M G(\xi,\eta)\, dV_g(\eta) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\bar{f}_g = \mathrm{Vol}_g(M)^{-1} \int_M f\, dV_g$ is the mean value of f. The asymptotics of this Green's function are studied in the Appendix. Now, for $k = 0, \dots,$ let

$$\phi_k(\xi) = 2 \int_M G(\xi,\eta)\, b_k(\eta)\, dV_g(\eta). \tag{1.4}$$

Since $\{u_k\}$ is a bubbling sequence, it follows immediately that $\int_M b_0\, dV_g = 8N\pi^2$ for some positive integer N. Druet and Robert proved that passing to a subsequence, there is a finite set $S = \{p_1, .., p_N\}$ such that:

$$u_k - \bar{u}_k \to 16\pi^2 \sum_i^N G(p_i, \cdot) - \phi_0 \qquad \text{in } C^4_{loc}(M \setminus S),$$

Let β be the regular part of the Green's function:

$$G(\xi,\eta) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\, \chi(r)\, \log d_g(\xi,\eta) + \beta(\xi,\eta). \tag{1.5}$$

Here χ is a cut off function supported in a small neighborhood of ξ, and $r = d_g(\xi,\eta)$. They also proved that for $i = 1, \dots, N$:

$$64\pi^2 \nabla_2 \beta(p_i,p_i) + 64\pi^2 \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla_1 G(p_i,p_j) - 4\nabla\phi_0(p_i) = -\frac{\nabla h(p_i)}{h(p_i)},$$

where h is the limit of h_k as $k \to \infty$, and ∇_1, ∇_2 denote the derivatives with respect to the first and second variables respectively. In this article we will continue this line of investigation and derive more precise asymptotic estimates for the behavior of such solutions. We define the *standard bubble* at p:

$$U_{p,\varepsilon,H}(\xi) = -\log\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\sqrt{H}\, d_g(p,\xi)^2}{4\sqrt{3}\,\varepsilon}\right).$$

We will also adopt the following notation. For k large enough, there are N points $\{q_{ik}\}$ such that $q_{ik} \to p_i$ and $u_k(q_{ik}) \to \infty$. Let $H_{ik} = h_k(q_{ik})$, $\varepsilon_{ik} = e^{-u_k(q_{ik})}$, and $U_{ik} = U_{q_{ik},\varepsilon_{ik},H_{ik}}$.

Theorem. *Let $\{u_k\}$ be a bubbling sequence of solutions on M. Then passing to a subsequence, there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for any fixed $\tau \in (0,1)$, there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(N, g, c_0, C_0, \tau)$ such that:*

$$|u_k(\xi) - U_{ik}(\xi)| \le C_1 d_g(q_{ik},\xi)^\tau, \tag{1.6}$$

in $B(q_{ik},\delta)$, and such that for $i=1,\dots,N$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(1.7)\quad \Big| 64\pi^2\nabla_2\beta(q_{ik},q_{ik}) &+ 64\pi^2\sum_{j\neq i}\nabla_1 G(q_{ik},q_{jk}) \\ &-4\nabla\phi_k(q_{ik})+\frac{\nabla h_k(q_{ik})}{h_k(q_{ik})}\Big| \le C_1 e^{-\tau u_k(q_{ik})/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Our approach is motivated by Lin and Wei's work [24] from which one can easily derive an $O(1)$ bound in (1.6) (i.e. $|u_k-U_{ik}|\le C$ near p_i) provided (M,g) is locally conformally flat; see also [38] which uses a completely different approach. Our result removes the hypothesis of local conformal flatness and also improves the estimate near the blow-up points. We hope our approach can be fine-tuned to yield better yet estimates as required to handle the existence question posed above.

A major difficulty when trying to prove a priori estimates for solutions of fourth order elliptic equations is the lack of a maximum principle. In order to remedy this, Lin and Wei devised a strategy based on the Pohozaev identity. We adapt this approach to the case in which the manifold is not necessarily locally conformally flat, making use of *conformal normal coordinates*. These are normal coordinates for a metric $\hat{g}$ in the conformal class of g for which $\det(\hat{g})=1$. The existence of such a metric is proved in [6]. Although, we used this result for the sake of simplicity, our proof only relies on the weaker concept already introduced in the solution of the Yamabe problem where one only requires $\det(\hat{g})=1$ to hold to high enough order in the distance from the center of the ball under consideration, see [21].

We now briefly sketch the outline of the paper and the proof of our Theorem.

In Section 2, we prove the $O(1)$ estimate. We use the Green's representation formula, together with rough estimates from [15], to write long range asymptotic formulas for the rescaled solution v_k and its derivatives in terms of the concentration of energy α_k near the singular point, i.e. within a carefully chosen radius $l_k=-\varepsilon_k\log\varepsilon_k$, where ε_k is related to the maximum of u_k, see (2.9). These are then substituted into an asymptotic Pohozaev identity, and after estimating the higher order terms, we obtain an asymptotic formula for the energy $\alpha_k\approx 16\pi^2$. When substituted back into the asymptotic formula for v_k, this yields a long range $O(1)$ bound. Finally, we use standard estimates in the interior, and then these long range and interior estimates in conjunction with the maximum principle in the mid-range.

In Section 3, we prove (1.6) by contradiction. We divide the argument into two cases, depending upon whether an appropriately weighted supremum runs off to infinity or remains in a bounded region along a subsequence. In the first case, we use the Green's representation formula and a comparison between the geometric and Euclidean distances to reach a contradiction. In the second case, we show that the difference between the appropriately rescaled solutions and the standard bubble converges to a solution of the linearized equation which we can then show vanishes thanks to a lemma of Lin and Wei from [24], again leading to a contradiction.

Finally, in Section 4, we use our estimate (1.6) in the Pohozaev Identity over a ball of radius $\varepsilon_k^{-1/2}$ to obtain a Euclidean version of the vanishing rate. We then translate this result into the original metric g and prove (1.7).

The Appendix deals with delicate estimates for the Green's function, an asymptotic comparison between the geodesic distance and the Euclidean distance in conformal normal coordinates, some well known curvature and metric derivatives computations in conformal normal coordinates, and a proof of the asymptotic Pohozaev identity.

2. The $O(1)$ estimate

In this section we derive the $O(1)$ estimate, i.e., we show that

$$|u_k(\xi) - U_{ik}(\xi)| \le C, \qquad \text{for } \xi \in B(q_{ik}, \delta).$$

This estimate has been established by Lin-Wei [24] for locally conformally flat manifolds; see also [38] for a completely different proof. Furthermore, we remove the assumption that (M, g) is locally conformally flat.

Our first step is to rescale the solutions, and use the Green's representation formula (1.3) to derive the long range asymptotic formulas (2.11)–(2.15).

In [15], Druet and Robert prove that the singular set S consists of only finitely many points $\{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ and these are separated uniformly in k by a positive distance. Without loss of generality we will focus in this section on p_1, and to simplify the notation, we will omit the subscript 1, so that we now consider a sequence of points $q_k \in M$ where u_k has a local maximum $u_k(q_k) \to \infty$ and $q_k \to p$ as $k \to \infty$.

According to [6], we can find function $\hat{w}_k$ defined on M, such that in a neighborhood $B(q_k, \delta_1)$ of q_k, $\delta_1 > 0$, we have $\det(\hat{g}_k) = 1$ in the normal coordinates of the conformal metric $\hat{g}_k = e^{2\hat{w}_k} g$. We refer to these coordinates as *conformal normal coordinates*. We point out that $\det(\hat{g}_k) \approx 1$ to high enough order would be sufficient for our purpose, but we use Cao's result since it simplifies the proof. We also choose δ_1 small enough so that $\delta_1 < \operatorname{inj}(M)/10$ and $\delta_1 < d/10$ where d is the minimum distance between any two points in the singular set S. Using the conformal covariance property of P_g (1.1), we obtain that the function $\hat{u}_k = u_k - \hat{w}_k$ satisfies

$$P_{\hat{g}_k} \hat{u}_k + 2\hat{b}_k = 2h_k e^{4\hat{u}_k}.$$

where $2\hat{b}_k = P_{\hat{g}_k} \hat{w}_k + 2b_k e^{-4\hat{w}_k}$. We remark that if $b_k = Q_g$ then $\hat{b}_k = Q_{\hat{g}_k}$. We also note that $\hat{w}_k(\xi) = O(d_g(\xi, q_k)^2)$ in a neighborhood of q_k, hence all the terms coming from $\hat{w}_k$ can be absorbed on the right-hand side of (1.6).

We have the following estimates, also proved in [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon_k^{(1-\nu)} d_{\hat g_k}(\xi, q_k)^{\nu} e^{\hat u_k(\xi)} \le C_\nu, \qquad && 1 \le \nu < 2 \\ &\left|D^j \hat u_k(\xi)\right| \le C(d_{\hat g_k}(\xi, q_k))^{-j}, \qquad && j = 1, 2, 3, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\left|D^j \hat u_k(\xi)\right| = \sum_J \left|D^J \hat u_k(\xi)\right|$ and the sum is over all multi-indices J of order j, and $\varepsilon_k = e^{-\hat u_k(q_k)}$. We now rescale the solutions $\hat u_k$, using a blow-up of the neighborhood of the point q_k. Define the map $\varphi_k \colon B(0, \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}) \to B(q_k, \delta_1)$ by $\varphi_k \colon y \mapsto \varepsilon_k y$, where on the right-hand side we are using conformal normal coordinates on $B(q_k, \delta_1)$. We use the notation $\breve f = \varphi_* f = f \circ \varphi$ to denote the pull-back of a function f defined on $B(q_k, \delta_1)$, and we let $\breve g_k = \varepsilon_k^{-2} \varphi_* g_k$ be the blow-up metric, i.e., a rescaling of the pull-back metric. We define:

$$v_k = \breve{\hat u}_k + \log \varepsilon_k,$$

and note that $v_k(0) = 0$. It follows from (1.1) that v_k satisfies:

$$P_{\breve g_k} v_k + 2\varepsilon_k^4 \breve b_k = 2\breve h_k e^{4v_k}, \qquad \text{in } B(0, \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}). \tag{2.2}$$

The estimates (2.1) now read:

$$|v_k(y)| \le (-2 + \mu)\, \log(1 + |y|) + C(\mu), \quad |y| \le \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1} \tag{2.3}$$

$$|D^j v_k(y)| \le C(1 + |y|)^{-j}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

Let $\hat G_k$ be the Green's function for $P_{\hat g_k}$. Then, we have:

$$\hat u_k(\xi) = \overline{\hat u_k} + 2\int_M \hat G_k(\xi, \eta) h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat u_k(\eta)}\, dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta) - 2\int_M \hat G_k(\xi, \eta) \hat b_k(\eta) dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta).$$

where $\overline{\hat u_k}$ is the mean value of $\hat u_k$. Decompose $\hat G_k$ into a principal part and a regular part as follows:

$$\hat G_k(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\, \chi(r)\, \log d_{\hat g_k}(\xi, \eta) + \hat\beta(\xi, \eta) = H(\xi, \eta) + \hat\beta(\xi, \eta)$$

where $\chi = 1$ on $B(q_k, \delta_1)$, $\chi = 0$ on $M \setminus B(q_k, 2\delta_1)$. We have

$$\hat u_k(\xi) = \overline{\hat u_k} + 2\int_M H(\xi, \eta)\, h_k(\eta)\, e^{4\hat u_k(\eta)}\, dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta) + \hat\phi_k(\xi) \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\hat\phi_k(\xi) = 2\int_M \hat\beta(\xi, \eta)\, h_k(\eta)\, e^{4\hat u_k(\eta)}\, dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta) - 2\int_M \hat G_k(\xi, \eta)\, \hat b_k(\eta)\, dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta). \tag{2.6}$$

Note that since $\det(\hat g_k) = 1$ in $B(q_k, \delta_1)$, we have $dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta) = d\eta$ in $B(q_k, \delta_1)$. Taking the difference of (2.5) evaluated at ξ and p_k, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat u_k(\xi) - \hat u_k(p_k) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_M \log\left(\frac{|\eta - q_k|}{d_{\hat g_k}(\xi, \eta)}\right) \chi(r)\, h_k(\eta)\, e^{4\hat u_k(\eta)}\, dV_{\hat g_k}(\eta) \\ + \hat\phi_k(\xi) - \hat\phi_k(q_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Here we have used the fact that since the coordinates are normal $d_{\hat{g}_k}(\eta, q_k) = |\eta - q_k|$. Thanks to the cut-off function χ, we can now replace the integral over M by an integral over $B(q_k, 2\delta_1)$, and after rescaling, we now obtain:

$$v_k(y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{B(0,2\delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1})} \log\left(\frac{|z|}{d_{\breve{g}_k}(z,y)}\right) \chi(\varepsilon_k r)\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)}\, dz + \hat{\phi}_k(\varepsilon_k y) - \hat{\phi}_k(0). \tag{2.8}$$

Let

$$l_k = -\varepsilon_k \log \varepsilon_k, \qquad L_k = -\log \varepsilon_k,$$

and define:

$$\alpha_k = 2 \int_{B(q_k,\delta_1)} h_k(\eta)\, e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)}\, dV_{\hat{g}_k} \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.3) and the fact that $\det(\hat{g}_k) = 1$, one sees easily that:

$$\alpha_k = 2 \int_{B(q_k,l_k)} h_k(\eta)\, e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)}\, d\eta + O(L_k^{-3}). \tag{2.10}$$

As in [24], the representation formula (2.8) implies the following long range asymptotic formulas for v_k and its derivatives:

$$v_k(y) = -\frac{\alpha_k}{8\pi^2} \log|y| + O(1), \qquad L_k \le |y| \le \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\partial_r v_k(y) = -\frac{\alpha_k}{8\pi^2} L_k^{-1} + O(L_k^{-2}), \qquad |y| = L_k \tag{2.12}$$

$$\partial_r\big(r\partial_r v_k(y)\big) = O(L_k^{-2}), \qquad |y| = L_k \tag{2.13}$$

$$\Delta v_k(y) = -\frac{\alpha_k}{4\pi^2} L_k^{-2} + O(L_k^{-3}), \qquad |y| = L_k \tag{2.14}$$

$$\partial_r \Delta v_k(y) = \frac{\alpha_k}{2\pi^2} L_k^{-3} + O(L_k^{-4}), \qquad |y| = L_k. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that while the asymptotic formula is required in the whole range $L_k \le |y| \le \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}$ for v_k, it is only required on $|y| = L_k$ for the derivatives.

Since the proof of these estimates is similar to the one in [24], we will only briefly sketch the argument pointing out the main differences, a major one being the difference between the Euclidean and Riemannian distance. It follows from (2.8) that for $|y| \ge L_k$:

$$v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{B(0,\delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1})} \log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)}\, dz + O(1). \tag{2.16}$$

Moreover for any multi-index J of order $j = 1, 2, 3$, and $|y| = -\log \varepsilon_k$, we have:

$$D^J v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{B(0,\delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1})} D_y^J\big(\log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)\big)\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)}\, dz + O(\varepsilon_k^j). \tag{2.17}$$

We now divide the domain of integration in (2.16) and (2.17) into three subsets $B(0,\delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1}) = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$, where:

$$\Omega_1 = \{|z| < |y|/2\}, \quad \Omega_2 = \{|z-y| < |y|/2\}, \quad \Omega_3 = B(0,\delta_1\epsilon_k^{-1}) \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2).$$

We also use the following approximations of the distance $d_{\breve{g}_k}$ and its derivatives by their Euclidean counterparts[1]:

$$\log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z) - \log|y-z| = O(1), \qquad z \in B(0,\varepsilon_k^{-1}\delta_1/2) \tag{2.18}$$

$$\left|D^j\left(\log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z) - \log|y-z|\right)\right| \le C\varepsilon_k^2|y|^{2-j}, \qquad z \in \Omega_1. \tag{2.19}$$

Over $\Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$, the integral (2.16) can be estimated simply by using (2.3) and the approximation (2.18) leading to:

$$\int_{\Omega_2\cup\Omega_3} \log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)\,\breve{h}_k(z)\,e^{4v_k(z)}\,dz = O(|y|^{-4+\mu_1}), \qquad \mu_1 > 0 \text{ small.}$$

In order to capture the asymptotics of the integral (2.16) over Ω_1, we again use the approximation (2.18) to reduce the calculation to the Euclidean case, so that (2.11) then follows with the help of (2.10).

Similarly the estimate of (2.17) over $\Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$ can be obtained from the bounds:

$$\left|D^j \log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)\right| \le C|y-z|^{-j}, \qquad j = 1,2,3, \qquad z \in \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3,$$

leading to:

$$\int_{\Omega_2\cup\Omega_3} \left|D^j(\log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z))\right|\,\breve{h}_k(z)\,e^{4v_k(z)}\,dz = O(|y|^{-4-j+\mu_1}),$$

while the estimation of these integrals over Ω_1 requires the more precise approximation (2.19).

We now use the long range estimates (2.11)–(2.15) in the following Pohozaev identity[2] over the ball $\Omega = B(q_k, l_k)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega (2he^{4\hat{u}} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^i\partial_i he^{4\hat{u}}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \Big(\frac{1}{2}\xi^i\nu_i he^{4\hat{u}} - \nu_j\xi^m\hat{g}^{ij}\partial_i(\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u})\partial_m\hat{u} \\
+ \nu_j\hat{g}^{ij}\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u}\partial_i\hat{u} + \nu_j\xi^m\hat{g}^{ij}\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u}\partial_{im}\hat{u} - \frac{1}{2}\xi^i\nu_i(\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u})^2\Big) \\
+ \int_\Omega \Big(\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u}\partial_i\hat{g}^{ij}\partial_j\hat{u} + \xi^m\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u}\partial_{im}\hat{g}^{ij}\partial_j\hat{u} + \xi^m\Delta_{\hat{g}}\hat{u}\partial_m\hat{g}^{ij}\partial_{ij}\hat{u} - 2\hat{b}\xi^i\partial_i\hat{u}\Big) \\
+ 2\int_{\partial\Omega} \Big(\hat{R}_{ij,l}(0)\xi^l\xi^m\nu_i\partial_j\hat{u}\partial_m\hat{u} + O(r^3)|D\hat{u}|^2\Big) \\
- \int_\Omega \Big(2\hat{R}_{ij,l}(0)(\xi^l\partial_j\hat{u}\partial_i\hat{u} + \xi^m\xi^l\partial_j\hat{u}\partial_{im}\hat{u}) + O(r^2)|D\hat{u}|^2 + O(r^4)|D^2\hat{u}|\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the conformal normal coordinates ξ^i on this ball, we denoted $r = |\xi|$, and denoted the unit normal to the boundary by ν_i. Furthermore, to simplify the notation, we suppressed the sequence index k, and since $\det(\hat{g}) = 1$, we omitted $dV_{\hat{g}} = d\xi$. Finally, we remark that we chose to write this identity in terms of $\hat{u}_k$ rather than v_k to avoid an even longer formula. It is easy to translate the long range estimates (2.11)–(2.15) to $\hat{u}_k$ from the fact that $v_k(y) = \hat{u}_k(\varepsilon_k y) + \log \epsilon_k$.

---

[1] These approximations are proved in the Appendix

[2] The proof of this identity can be found in the Appendix.

We denote the integral on the left hand side of this identity by I_0, and the four integrals on the right-hand side by I_1, I_2, I_3 and I_4 respectively. By (2.3), we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \xi^i \partial_i h_k e^{4\hat{u}_k} = O(\epsilon_k).$$

hence it follows from (2.10) that:

$$I_0 = \alpha_k + O(L_k^{-3}) \tag{2.20}$$

By the expansions (C.11) and (C.12) of the derivatives of the metric $\hat{g}$, and (2.4) we get:

$$|I_2| \le C\int_{B_{L_k}} \varepsilon_k^3 |D^2 v_k|\,|Dv_k|\,|y|^2 + \varepsilon_k^2 |y|^2 |D^2 v_k|^2 + \varepsilon_k^4 |Dv_k|\,|y| = O(\varepsilon_k) \tag{2.21}$$

and similarly, using (C.14), we see that:

$$|I_3| + |I_4| = O(\epsilon_k). \tag{2.22}$$

It remains to compute I_1. First, using (2.3), we can estimate the first term in I_1:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega} \xi^i \nu_i h_k e^{4\hat{u}_k} = O(L_k^{-3}).$$

Using this bound, and using the expansions (C.9) and (C.10) in the remaining terms, we can now reduce I_1 to:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(-l_k \partial_\nu(\Delta \hat{u}_k)\partial_\nu \hat{u}_k + \Delta\hat{u}_k \partial_\nu \hat{u}_k + \nu_i \xi^m \Delta\hat{u}_k \partial_{im}\hat{u}_k - \frac{1}{2} l_k (\Delta\hat{u}_k)^2 \right) \\ &\qquad\qquad + O(\varepsilon_k) \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{L_k}} \left(-L_k \partial_\nu(\Delta v_k)\partial_\nu v_k + \partial_\nu(y\cdot\nabla v_k)\Delta v_k - \frac{1}{2} L_k(\Delta v_k)^2 \right) + O(\varepsilon_k). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.11)-(2.15) in the above, we get:

$$I_1 = \frac{\alpha_k^2}{16\pi^2} + O(L_k^{-1}). \tag{2.23}$$

Combining (2.20), (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23), we get:

$$\alpha_k + O(L_k^{-3}) = \frac{\alpha_k^2}{16\pi^2} + O(L_k^{-1}).$$

which implies

$$\alpha_k = 16\pi^2 + O(L_k^{-1}). \tag{2.24}$$

When substituting this into (2.11), we obtain:

$$v_k(y) + 2\log|y| = O(1), \quad |y| \geq L_k.$$

The argument in the region $|y| \leq L_k$ follows the one in [24] closely, hence we again only sketch the proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $h_k(q_k) \to 1$[3]. Let $U = U_{0,1,1}$ be the standard bubble in $\mathbb{R}^4$:

$$U(y) = -\log\left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{4\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

It is easy to check that U satisfies $\Delta^2 U = 2e^{4U}$ and it is well known that $v_k \to U$ in $C^4_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, see for example [15]. Thus, for any fixed A and all k sufficiently large, we have:

$$|v_k(y) - U(y)| \leq 1, \qquad \text{for } |y| \leq A.$$

Subtracting (2.17) from its Euclidean counterpart, using (2.19) to compare $d_{\breve{g}}(y,z)$ and $|y-z|$ as well as their respective derivatives, and also using (2.24) to compare the leading terms, we obtain:

$$|\Delta v_k(y) - \Delta U(y)| \leq C|y|^{-3}, \qquad \text{for } A < |y| < L_k.$$

Now, letting $T(y) = C(1 + |y|^{-1})$, and choosing C large enough, we can guarantee that $\Delta T \leq -|\Delta v_k - \Delta U|$ whence from the maximum principle $|v_k(y) - U(y)| \leq C(1 + |y|^{-1})$, on $A \leq |y| \leq L_k$. Substituting $\xi = \varepsilon_k y$, and using the definition of v_k, we obtain the version of (1.6) with $O(1)$ on the right-hand side, i.e., with $\tau = 0$.

3. A Sharper Estimate

The main purpose of this section is to establish (1.6). An important tool we use is the following lemma, due to Lin-Wei [24]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $U(y) = -\log(1 + |y|^2/4\sqrt{3})$ be defined on $\mathbb{R}^4$. Then U satisfies*

$$\Delta^2 U = 2e^{4U}, \qquad U(0) = \max U = 0.$$

Furthermore, any solution of the linearized problem:

$$\Delta^2\phi = 8e^{4U}\phi, \qquad |\phi(y)| \leq C(1 + |y|)^{\tau}, \qquad \tau \in (0,1),$$

is given by $\phi = \sum_{j=0}^{4} c_j\psi_j$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_0 &= \frac{1 - |y|^2/4\sqrt{3}}{1 + |y|^2/4\sqrt{3}}\\ \psi_j &= \frac{y_j}{1 + |y|^2/4\sqrt{3}}, \qquad j = 1,..,4.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. *One immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1 is that if in addition, ϕ satisfies $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\nabla\phi(0) = 0$, then $\phi \equiv 0$.*

---

[3] Otherwise, we can add a constant to $\hat{u}_k$.

Let $\rho_k = h_k(0)^{1/2}/4\sqrt{3}$ and consider the solution $U_k(y) = -\log(1+\rho_k|y|^2)$ of the equation

$$\Delta^2 U_k = 2h_k(0)e^{4U_k}, \qquad U_k(0) = 0, \qquad |\nabla U_k(0)| = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^4$. Letting $w_k = v_k - U_k$, then by the result of Section 2, we already know that $|w_k| \le C$ in $B(0, \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1})$. Our goal in this section is to prove:

$$|w_k(y)| \le C\varepsilon_k^\tau |y|^\tau, \qquad |y| \le \delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

for any $0 < \tau < 1$, which implies (1.6). Equivalently, if we let

$$\Lambda_k = \max_{\Omega_k} \frac{|w_k(y)|}{\varepsilon_k^\tau (1+|y|)^\tau},$$

then it suffices to show that Λ_k is bounded on $\Omega_k = B(0, \delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1})$. Suppose that $\Lambda_k \to \infty$, and let $y_k \in \Omega_k$ be the point where Λ_k attains its maximum. Now, either: (i) $y_k \to \infty$; or (ii) $|y_k|$ remains bounded at least along a subsequence, and hence a further subsequence, which without loss of generality we will assume is y_k itself, converges to y^*. We will show that in both cases a contradiction follows.

Define:

$$\bar{w}_k(y) = \frac{w_k(y)}{\Lambda_k \varepsilon_k^\tau (1+|y_k|)^\tau}.$$

By the definition of Λ_k, we have

$$|\bar{w}_k(y)| \le \left(\frac{1+|y|}{1+|y_k|}\right)^\tau, \tag{3.3}$$

and $\bar{w}_k(y_k) = \pm 1$.

Assume first that $y_k \to \infty$. Since $|w_k(y)| \le C$ and $\Lambda_k \to \infty$, we clearly have $y_k = o(1)\varepsilon_k^{-1}$. ¿From the fundamental solution for Δ^2, it is straightforward to get:

$$\begin{aligned} U_k(x) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \log\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\, h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k(y)}\, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_k} \log\frac{|z|}{|y-z|}\, h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k(z)}\, dz + O(\varepsilon_k^4). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, using the fundamental solution for $P_{\breve{g}_k}$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(y) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_k} \log\frac{|z|}{d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)}\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)}\, dz + O(\varepsilon_k|y|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_k} \log\frac{|z|}{|y-z|}\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)}\, dz + O(\varepsilon_k|y|), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

see (2.8). Note that for the second equality, we used (B.9) as well as the decay rate of v_k. Finally, we estimate the source term:

$$\begin{aligned}
&|h_k(\epsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)} - h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k}| \\
&\qquad = \left|h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\,(e^{4v_k} - e^{4U_k}) + (h_k(\varepsilon_k z) - h_k(0))\, e^{4U_k}\right| \\
&\qquad\quad \le C(1+|z|)^{-8}|w_k(z)| + O(\varepsilon_k)\,(1+|z|)^{-7} \\
&\qquad\qquad \le C\varepsilon_k^{\tau}\Lambda_k(1+|z|)^{-8+\tau} + O(\varepsilon_k)(1+|z|)^{-7}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (3.5) and combining with (3.4) in the definition of $\bar{w}_k$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_k(y_k) = \int_{\Omega_k} \log\frac{|z|}{|y-z|}\Bigg(&\frac{O(1)(1+|z|)^{-8+\tau}}{(1+|y_k|)^{\tau}} \\
&+ \frac{O(\varepsilon_k^{1-\tau})(1+|z|)^{-7}}{\Lambda_k(1+|y_k|)^{\tau}}\Bigg)\, dz + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $y_k \to \infty$, it is now easy to see that the right hand side is $o(1)$, which contradicts $\bar{w}_k = \pm 1$.

We now turn to the second case and assume without loss of generality that y_k converges to y^*. We will show that along a subsequence $\bar{w}_k$ converges. This will be accomplished by estimating $P_{\breve{g}_k}(U_k - v_k)$. We start with:

$$P_{\breve{g}} U_k = \Delta_{\breve{g}}^2 U_k + \varepsilon_k^2 \operatorname{div}_{\breve{g}}\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\breve{g}_{ij}(y) - 2\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right) dU_k\right)$$

where we have suppressed the subscript k on the metric and curvature components, and where $\hat{R}$, $\hat{R}_{ij}$ are the scalar and the Ricci curvatures of $\hat{g}$. In conformal normal coordinates, we have:

$$\Delta_{\breve{g}}^2 U_k = \Delta^2 U_k \qquad \text{in } B(0, \delta_1\epsilon_k^{-1}). \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_m\left(\breve{g}^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\breve{g}_{ij} - 2\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\breve{g}^{lj}\partial_l U_k\right) \\
&\qquad = \partial_m\breve{g}^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\breve{g}_{ij} - 2\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\breve{g}^{lj}\partial_l U_k \\
&\qquad\quad + \breve{g}^{mi}\partial_m\breve{g}^{lj}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\breve{g}_{ij} - 2\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\partial_l U_k \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_k\breve{g}^{mi}\breve{g}^{lj}\left(\frac{2}{3}\partial_m\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\breve{g}_{ij} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\partial_m\hat{g}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y) - 2\hat{R}_{ij,m}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\partial_l U_k \\
&\qquad\quad + \breve{g}^{mi}\breve{g}^{lj}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y)\breve{g}_{ij} - 2\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\partial_{lm} U_k \\
&\qquad = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_m \breve{g}^{mi}(y) = \varepsilon_k \partial_m \hat{g}^{mi}(\varepsilon_k y) = O(\varepsilon_k^3 |y|^2)$, $\hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y) = O(\varepsilon_k^2 |y|^2)$, and $\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y) = O(\varepsilon_k |y|)$, we easily get $A_1 = O(\varepsilon_k^2)$, and $A_2 = O(\varepsilon_k^2)$. Furthermore, since in addition $\hat{R}_{ij,i}(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{R}_{,j}(0) = 0$, we also have $A_3 = O(\varepsilon_k^2)$, and $A_4 = O(\varepsilon_k^2)$. Substituting this into the above equation and multiplying by ε_k^2, we get:

$$\varepsilon_k^2 \operatorname{div}_{\breve{g}} \left(\left(\frac{2}{3} \hat{R}(\varepsilon_k y) \breve{g}_{ij}(y) - 2\hat{R}_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y) \right) dU_k \right) = O(\varepsilon_k^4). \tag{3.7}$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we find:

$$P_{\breve{g}} U_k = 2h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k} + O(\varepsilon_k^4), \qquad |y| \le \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Combining (3.8) with (2.2), we obtain:

$$P_{\breve{g}} w_k = 8h_k(\varepsilon_k y)\, e^{4\xi^k} w_k + O(\varepsilon_k)(1+|y|)^{-7} + O(\varepsilon_k^4), \qquad |y| \le \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}, \tag{3.9}$$

where ξ^k is given by: $e^{4\xi^k} = \int_0^1 e^{4tv_k + 4(1-t)U_k}\, dt$. Finally, this leads to the following equation for $\bar{w}_k$:

$$P_{\breve{g}} \bar{w}_k = 8h_k(\epsilon_k y) e^{4\xi^k} \bar{w}_k + \frac{O(\epsilon_k^{1-\tau})(1+|y|)^{-7}}{\Lambda_k (1+|y_k|)^\tau} + \frac{O(\epsilon_k^{4-\tau})}{\Lambda_k (1+|y_k|)^\tau}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $y_k \to y^*$, a subsequence of $\bar{w}_k$ converges to w in $C^4(\mathbb{R}^4)$. We will assume without loss of generality, as in Section 2, that $h_k(0) \to 1$. It follows that the limit w satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 w = 8e^{4U} w, \\ |w(y)| \le C(1+|y|)^\tau, \\ w(0) = |\nabla w(0)| = 0. \end{cases}$$

By the remark following Lemma 3.1, we conclude that $w \equiv 0$, which contradicts $\bar{w}(y^*) = \pm 1$. This concludes the proof of (3.2).

In Section 4, we will also need estimates on the derivatives $D^j w_k(y)$ for $|y| \le \varepsilon_k^{-1}\delta_1/2$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. By combining (3.9) and (3.2), we have:

$$P_{\breve{g}} w_k(y) = O(\varepsilon_k^\tau)(1+|y|)^{-8+\tau} + O(\varepsilon_k^4), \qquad |y| < \frac{\delta_1}{2}\epsilon_k^{-1}.$$

Fix y, and let $r = |y|$ and $f_k(z) = w_k(rz)$ for $1/2 < |z| < 2$. Then $f_k(z)$ satisfies:

$$P_{\acute{g}} f_k(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^\tau)(1+r)^{-4+\tau} + O(\varepsilon_k^4 r^4), \qquad B_2 \setminus B_{1/2},$$
$$f_k(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^\tau r^\tau), \quad B_2 \setminus B_{1/2},$$

where $\acute{g}$ is the rescaled metric $r^{-2}\psi_* \breve{g}$ and $\psi \colon z \mapsto rz$. Standard elliptic theory for fourth order equations [5] yields:

$$D^j f_k(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^\tau r^\tau), \qquad |z| = 1, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Hence, we conclude:

$$D^j w_k(y) = O(\varepsilon_k^\tau |y|^{\tau - j}), \qquad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad |y| \le \varepsilon_k^{-1}\delta_1/2. \tag{3.11}$$

4. The Vanishing Rate

The purpose of this section is to complete the proof of our Theorem by proving (1.7). We first prove a Euclidean version in Subsection 4.1, and then translate this result to the original metric in Subsection 4.2.

4.1. **A Euclidean Version.**

The goal of this subsection is to prove (4.14). This is accomplished in three steps:

(i) In the first step, we derive an asymptotic expansion of the Pohozaev identity; see (4.3).
(ii) In the second step, we express this identity in terms of the background Euclidean metric; see (4.12).
(iii) In the last step, we complete the proof of (4.14).

4.1.1. *Step 1.* In this subsection we let $E_k = B(0, \varepsilon_k^{-1/2})$, and we derive an asymptotic Pohozaev identity for v_k on E_k. We multiply (2.2) by $\partial_a v_k$, $a = 1, .., 4$, integrate with respect to the Euclidean volume element dy, and estimate each of the resulting terms. First by the $O(1)$ estimate and (b, h):

$$\begin{aligned}(4.1)\quad &\int_{E_k} 2h_k(\varepsilon_k y)\, e^{4v_k(y)}\, \partial_a v_k(y)\, dy \\ &\qquad = -\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \int_{E_k} e^{4v_k(y)}\, \partial_a h_k(\varepsilon_k y) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial E_k} h_k\, e^{4v_k}\, \nu_a \\ &\qquad\qquad = -\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \partial_a h_k(0) \int_{E_k} e^{4v_k(y)} + O(\varepsilon_k^2).\end{aligned}$$

Next, integrating by parts, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.2)\quad &\int_{E_k} \Delta_{\breve{g}}^2 v_k\, \partial_a v_k \\ &= \int_{\partial E_k} \left(\breve{g}^{ij} \partial_j (\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k) \partial_a v_k\, \nu_i - \breve{g}^{ij} \Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k\, \partial_{ia} v_k\, \nu_j + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k)^2\, \nu_a \right) \\ &\qquad - \int_{E_k} (\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k\, \partial_{ia} \breve{g}^{ij}\, \partial_j v_k + (\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k)\, \partial_a \breve{g}^{ij}\, \partial_{ij} v_k),\end{aligned}$$

where we used:

$$\partial_j (\breve{g}^{ij} \partial_{ia} v_k) = \partial_a (\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k) - \partial_{ia} \breve{g}^{ij} \partial_j v_k - \partial_a \breve{g}^{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k$$

We now estimate the two integrals over E_k in (4.2) above. Using $\partial_{ia} \breve{g}^{ij} = O(\epsilon_k^3 |y|)$, which is implied by (C.14), and (2.4), we find:

$$\int_{E_k} \Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k\, \partial_{ia} \breve{g}^{ij}\, \partial_j\, v_k = O(\varepsilon_k^2).$$

Furthermore, for any $0 < \sigma < 1$, the second integral over E_k in (4.2) can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_k} (\Delta_{\breve g} v_k)\,\partial_a \breve g^{ij}\,\partial_{ij} v_k \\
&\qquad = \varepsilon_k^2 \int_{E_k} (\Delta U_k + \Delta_{\breve g} w_k)\left(-\frac{2}{3}\hat R_{i(am)j}(0)\,y^m + O(\varepsilon_k|y|^2)\right)\partial_{ij} v_k \\
&\qquad = -\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_k^2 \int_{E_k} \Delta U_k \hat R_{i(am)j}(0)\,y^m \partial_{ij} U_k\,dy + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big) = O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.11), and the following estimate implied by (C.11):

$$\partial_a \breve g^{ij}(y) = \varepsilon_k\,\partial_a \hat g^{ij}(\varepsilon_k y) = -\frac{2}{3}\hat R_{i(am)j}(0)\,\varepsilon_k^2\,y^m + O(\varepsilon_k^3|y|^2),$$

as well as the antisymmetry of the curvature tensor, and $\hat R_{ij}(0) = 0$. Here, we use the customary round brackets notation to denote the symmetric part. We will choose $\sigma \in (0,1)$ at the end of the argument of Section (4.1). Next, since $\hat R(\varepsilon_k y) = O(\varepsilon_k^2|y|^2)$, and $\hat R_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y) = O(\varepsilon_k|y|)$ and (2.4), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_k^2 \int_{E_k} \partial_m\left(\breve g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat R(\varepsilon_k y)\,\breve g_{ij} - 2\hat R_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\partial_l v_k\,\breve g^{lj}\right)\partial_a v_k \\
&\qquad = \varepsilon_k^2 \int_{\partial E_k} \breve g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat R(\varepsilon_k y)\,\breve g_{ij} - 2\hat R_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\partial_l v_k\,\breve g^{lj}\,\partial_a v_k\,\nu_m \\
&\qquad\quad - \varepsilon_k^2 \int_{E_k} \breve g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\hat R(\varepsilon_k y)\,\breve g_{ij} - 2\hat R_{ij}(\varepsilon_k y)\right)\partial_l v_k\,\breve g^{lj}\,\partial_{am} v_k = O(\varepsilon_k^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we estimate:

$$\varepsilon_k^4 \int_{E_k} 2\hat b_k \partial_a v_k = O(\varepsilon_k^{5/2}).$$

Combining all the terms, we arrive at the following Pohozaev identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\,\partial_a h_k(0)\int_{E_k} e^{4v_k}\,dy + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big) \\
&\quad = \int_{\partial E_k}\left(-\breve g^{ij}\,\partial_j(\Delta_{\breve g} v_k)\,\partial_a v_k\,\nu_i + \breve g^{ij}\,\Delta_{\breve g} v_k\,\partial_{ia} v_k\,\nu_j - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\breve g} v_k)^2\,\nu_a\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

4.1.2. *Step 2.* In this second step, we rewrite (4.3) in terms of the Euclidean Δv_k rather than $\Delta_{\breve g} v_k$. We begin by substituting:

$$(\breve g^{ij}(y) - \delta_{ij})\,\nu_i = -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_k^2 \hat R_{ilmj}(0)\,y_m\,y_l\,\frac{y_i}{|y|} + O(\varepsilon_k^3|y|^3) = O(\varepsilon_k^3|y|^3).$$

into (4.3) to get:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.4)\quad & \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\,\partial_a h_k(0)\int_{E_k} e^{4v_k}\,dy + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big)\\ &\qquad = \int_{\partial E_k}\left(-\partial_i(\Delta_{\breve g} v_k)\,\partial_a v_k\,\nu_i + \Delta_{\breve g} v_k\,\partial_{ia} v_k\,\nu_i - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\breve g} v_k)^2\,\nu_a\right).\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}(4.5)\quad & \partial_i(\Delta_{\breve g} v_k) - \partial_i(\Delta v_k)\\ &\qquad = \partial_{im}\breve g^{ml}\,\partial_l v_k + \partial_m \breve g^{ml}\,\partial_{il} v_k + \partial_i \breve g^{ml}\,\partial_{ml} v_k + (\breve g^{ml} - \delta_{ml})\,\partial_{iml} v_k.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (C.14) and (C.12), we have, for $|y| = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2}$:

$$(4.6)\qquad \partial_{im}\breve g^{ml}\,\partial_l v_k = O(\varepsilon_k^3), \qquad \partial_m \breve g^{ml}\,\partial_{il} v_k = O(\varepsilon_k^3),$$

$$(4.7)\qquad \partial_i \breve g^{ml}\,\partial_{ml} v_k = \partial_i \breve g^{ml}\,(\partial_{ml} U_k + O(\varepsilon_k^\sigma r^{\sigma-2})) = O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(5+\sigma)/2}\big),$$

where to derive (4.7), we also used the following consequence of (C.11):

$$\partial_i \breve g^{ml} = -\frac{2}{3}\hat R_{m(ia)l}(0)\varepsilon_k^2 y_a + O(\varepsilon_k^3 |y|^2),$$

as well as the anti-symmetry of the curvature tensor and $\hat R_{ij}(0) = 0$. Next, on $|y| = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4.8)\quad & (\breve g^{ml} - \delta^{ml})\,\partial_{iml} v_k\\ &\qquad = \left(-\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_k^2 \hat R_{mabl}(0)\,y_a\,y_b + O(\varepsilon_k^3 r^3)\right)(\partial_{iml} U_k + O(\varepsilon_k^\sigma r^{\sigma-3}))\\ &\qquad\quad = -\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_k^2 \hat R_{mabl}(0)\,y_a\,y_b\,\partial_{iml} U_k + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(5+\sigma)/2}\big) = O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(5+\sigma)/2}\big),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following expansion, valid for any radial function $f(r)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{iml} f(r) = & \left(f'''(r) - \frac{f''(r)}{r} + \frac{f'(r)}{r^2}\right)\frac{y_l\,y_m\,y_i}{r^3}\\ & + \left(f''(r) - \frac{f'(r)}{r}\right)\frac{(\delta_{il}\,y_m + y_l\,\delta_{im})r^2 - 2y_l\,y_m\,y_i}{r^4}\\ & \qquad + (f''(r) - f'(r))\frac{\delta_{ml}\,y_i}{r^2},\end{aligned}$$

as well as the anti-symmetry of $\hat R_{abcd}$ and $\hat R_{ij}(0) = 0$. It now follows from (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8) that the following holds:

$$(4.9)\qquad -\int_{\partial E_k} \partial_i(\Delta_{\breve g} v_k)\,\partial_a v_k\,\nu_i = -\int_{\partial E_k} \partial_i(\Delta v_k)\,\partial_a v_k\,\nu_i + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big).$$

Next, on $|y| = \epsilon_k^{-1/2}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k &= \partial_i \breve{g}^{ij}\, \partial_j v_k + \breve{g}^{ij}\, \partial_{ij} v_k = O(\varepsilon_k^3) r + \Delta v_k + (\breve{g}^{ij} - \delta_{ij})\, \partial_{ij} v_k \\ &= O(\varepsilon_k^{\frac{5}{2}}) + \Delta v_k - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k^2}{3} \hat{R}_{iabj}(0)\, y_a\, y_b + O(\varepsilon_k^3 r^3) \right) \left(\partial_{ij} U_k + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma} r^{\sigma-2}) \right) \\ &= \Delta v_k + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{2+\sigma/2}\big),\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows:

$$\int_{\partial E_k} \Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k\, \partial_{ia} v_k\, \nu_i = \int_{\partial E_k} \Delta v_k\, \partial_{ia} v_k\, \nu_i\, dS + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big). \tag{4.10}$$

Similarly:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial E_k} (\Delta_{\breve{g}} v_k)^2\, \nu_a = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial E_k} (\Delta v_k)^2\, \nu_a + O\big(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}\big). \tag{4.11}$$

Substituting (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) into the Pohozaev Identity (4.4), we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\varepsilon_k}{2} \partial_a h_k(0) \int_{E_k} e^{4 v_k}\, dy + O(\varepsilon_k^{(3+\sigma)/2}) \\ &\qquad = \int_{\partial E_k} \left(-\partial_i(\Delta v_k)\, \partial_a v_k\, \nu_i + \Delta v_k\, \partial_{ia} v_k\, \nu_i - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta v_k)^2\, \nu_a \right) dS.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

4.1.3. *Step 3.* In this subsection, we first aim to replace v_k by U_k in the Pohozaev identity (4.12), after which many of the terms will simplify thanks to the radial symmetry of U_k, leading to the Euclidean version of the vanishing rate (4.14). Recall the definition of $\hat{\phi}_k$ (2.6), from which we have:

$$D^j \hat{\phi}_k(\varepsilon_k y) = O(\varepsilon_k^j), \qquad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

For $|y| = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2}$, we cut $B(0, \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1})$ into three subdomains $B(0, \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}) = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$ as in Section 2, page 9, and use the representation (2.8). Using standard estimates over $\Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3$ and (B.1) over Ω_1, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_a v_k(y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Omega_1} \partial_a \big(\log d_{\breve{g}}(z, y) \big)\, \breve{h}_k(z)\, e^{4 v_k(z)} + \varepsilon_k\, \partial_a \hat{\phi}_k(0) + O(\varepsilon_k^2 |y|) + O(|y|^{-5}) \\ &\qquad = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{y_a - z_a}{|y - z|^2}\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4 v_k(z)} + \varepsilon_k\, \partial_a \hat{\phi}_k(0) + O(\varepsilon_k^{3/2}),\end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted the standard volume element dz. Similarly,

$$\Delta v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{|y-z|^2}\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)} + O(\varepsilon_k^2),$$

$$\partial_{ij} v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\delta_{ij}|y-z|^2 - 2(y_i-z_i)(y_j-z_j)}{|y-z|^4}\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k} + O(\varepsilon_k^2).$$

$$\partial_i(\Delta v_k(y)) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{y_i-z_i}{|y-z|^4}\, h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k} + O(\varepsilon_k^{5/2}).$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} h_k(\varepsilon_k z)\, e^{4v_k(z)} &= \big(h_k(0) + \varepsilon_k\, \partial_j h_k(0)\, z_j + O(\varepsilon_k^2 |z|^2)\big) e^{4U_k + O(\varepsilon_k^\sigma |z|^\sigma)} \\ &= h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k(z)} + O(\varepsilon_k^\sigma)(1+|z|)^{-8+\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in the derivatives of v_k we have:

$$\partial_a v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{y_a - z_a}{|y-z|^2}\, h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k(z)} + \varepsilon_k\, \partial_a \hat{\phi}_k(0) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+1/2})$$

$$\Delta v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{1}{|y-z|^2}\, h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k(z)} + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+1}),$$

$$\partial_{ij} v_k(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\delta_{ij}\,|y-z|^2 - 2(y_i-z_i)(y_j-z_j)}{|y-z|^4}\, h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k} + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+1})$$

$$\partial_i(\Delta v_k(y)) = \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{y_i-z_i}{|y-z|^4}\, h_k(0)\, e^{4U_k} + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+3/2}).$$

We perform a similar computation for U_k and take the difference, leading to the following estimates for $|y| = \varepsilon_k^{-1/2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i(\Delta v_k(y)) &= \partial_i(\Delta U_k)(y) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+3/2}), \\ \Delta v_k(y) &= \Delta U_k(y) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+1}), \\ \partial_{ij} v_k(y) &= \partial_{ij} U_k(y) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+1}) \\ \partial_a v_k(y) &= \partial_a U_k(y) + \varepsilon_k\, \partial_a \hat{\phi}_k(0) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma+1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these estimates into the Pohozaev Identity (4.12), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (4.13)\quad & \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\partial_a h_k(0)\int_{E_k} e^{4U_k} + O(\varepsilon_k^{1+\sigma/2}) \\ &= \int_{\partial E_k} \left(-\partial_\nu(\Delta U_k)(\partial_a U_k + \varepsilon_k\, \partial_a \hat{\phi}_k(0)) + \Delta U_k\, \partial_{ia} U_k\, \nu_i - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta U_k)^2\, \nu_a \right). \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry of U_k implies:

$$\int_{\partial E_k} \left(-\partial_\nu(\Delta U_k)\, \partial_a U_k + \Delta U_k\, \partial_{ia} U_k\, \nu_i - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta U_k)^2\, \nu_a \right) = 0.$$

In view of the equation (3.1), we also have

$$\int_{\partial E_k} \partial_\nu(\Delta U_k)\, dS = 2h_k(0) \int_{E_k} e^{4U_k}.$$

Substituting into (4.13), we obtain

$$\partial_a h_k(0) + 4h_k(0)\partial_a \hat{\phi}_k(0) = O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma/2}) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma-1/2}), \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Now, if we choose $\tau/2 + 1/2 < \sigma < 1$, then $O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma/2}) + O(\varepsilon_k^{\sigma-1/2}) = O(\varepsilon_k^{\tau/2})$, so that we can conclude:

$$\left| \frac{\nabla h_k(0)}{h_k(0)} + 4\nabla\hat{\phi}_k(0) \right| = O(\varepsilon_k^{\tau/2}). \tag{4.14}$$

4.2. **The Vanishing Rate in g.** In this subsection, we verify that (4.14) leads to (1.7). For simplicity, we assume without loss of generality that the cut-off function χ is supported in $B(q_{ik}, 2\delta)$ where δ is small enough to guarantee that $B(q_{ik}, 2\delta)$ are mutually disjoint. Indeed, this can be done since the left hand side of (1.7) is invariant under any change of cut-off function χ. Under this choice of cut-off, all the terms $\nabla_1 G(q_{ik}, q_{jk})$, $j \neq i$, reduce to $\nabla_1\beta(q_{ik}, q_{jk})$, so that it now suffices to show that:

$$64\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \nabla_1\beta(q_{ik}, q_{jk}) - 4\nabla\phi_k(q_{ik}) = -\frac{\nabla h_k(q_{ik})}{h_k(q_{ik})} + O(\varepsilon_k^{\tau/2}). \tag{4.15}$$

Indeed, $e^{-\tau u_k(q_{ik})/2} = O(\varepsilon_k^{\tau/2})$ since $|u_k(q_{ik}) - u_k(q_{jk})| \le C$ for $i \neq j$, and furthermore $\nabla_1\beta(q_{ik}, q_{ik}) = \nabla_2\beta(q_{ik}, q_{ik})$ since $\beta(x, y) = \beta(y, x)$ by Lemma A.1 in the Appendix. The remainder of this section is devoted to verifying (4.15).

Taking the derivative with respect to ξ in (2.5) and evaluating at q_{ik}, we have:

$$\nabla\hat{u}_k(q_{ik}) = 2\int_M \nabla_1 H(q_{ik}, \eta) h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta) + \nabla\hat{\phi}_k(q_{ik}). \tag{4.16}$$

where $H(\xi, \eta) = -(1/8\pi^2)\,\chi(r)\,\log d_{\hat{g}_k}(\xi, \eta)$. Similarly, for u_k we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u_k(q_{ik}) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M & \nabla_1\big(\chi(r)\log d_g(q_{ik}, \eta)\big) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta) \\ & + \int_M \nabla_1\beta(q_{ik}, \eta) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta) - \nabla\phi_k(q_{ik}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where we used $e^{4\hat{u}_k}\, dV_{\hat{g}_k} = e^{4u_k}\, dV_g$. Let $H_0(\xi, \eta) = (1/8\pi^2)\chi(r)\log d_g(\xi, \eta)$, then we claim that:

$$|\nabla_1 H(q_{ik}, \eta) - \nabla_1 H_0(q_{ik}, \eta)| \le C d_g(\xi, \eta). \tag{4.18}$$

Indeed, recall that $\hat{w}(q_{ik}) = 0$ and $\nabla\hat{w}_k(q_{ik}) = 0$. Thus, if fix $\xi = q_{ik}$, and we let:

$$f(\eta) = \log d_g(\xi, \eta) - \log d_{\hat{g}}(\xi, \eta),$$

then $\hat{w}_k(\eta) = O(|\xi - \eta|^2)$, and therefore $|f(\eta)| \leq C(|\xi - \eta|^2)$. It follows that $\nabla f(\xi) = 0$. Now, by Appendix A, $P_g f(\eta)$ is a bounded function, hence by elliptic theory, $\nabla^2 f(\eta)$ is bounded. We conclude that $|\nabla f(\eta)| \leq C|\xi - \eta|$ from which (4.18) follows. Next, since we have:

$$\nabla u_k(q_{ik}) = \nabla \hat{u}_k(q_{ik}) + \nabla \hat{w}_k(q_{ik}) = \nabla \hat{u}_k(q_{ik}),$$

it follows, by taking the difference of (4.16) and (4.17), that:

$$0 = -\nabla \hat{\phi}_k(q_{ik}) + \int_M \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, \eta) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta) - \nabla \phi_k(q_{ik}) + O(\varepsilon_k) \tag{4.19}$$

Furthermore, we claim that:

$$\int_M \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, \eta) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta) = 16\pi^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, q_{jk}) + O(\varepsilon_k^\tau). \tag{4.20}$$

Indeed, observe that:

$$\int_M \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, \eta) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{B(q_{jk},\delta)} \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, \eta) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)}\, dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta) + O(\varepsilon_k^4)$$

since, by (1.6), $e^{4\hat{u}_k} = O(\varepsilon_k^4)$ on $M \setminus \cup_{j=1}^N B(q_{jk}, \delta)$. In addition, for each $j = 1, .., N$:

$$\int_{B(q_{jk},\delta)} \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, \eta) 2h_k(\eta) e^{4\hat{u}_k(\eta)} dV_{\hat{g}}(\eta)$$
$$= \nabla_1 \beta(q_{ik}, q_{jk}) \int_{B(q_{jk},\delta)} 2h_k e^{4\hat{u}_k} dV_{\hat{g}} + \int_{B(q_{jk},\delta)} O(|\eta - q_{jk}|) 2h_k e^{4\hat{u}_k} dV_{\hat{g}}$$
$$= 16\pi^2 + O(\epsilon_k^\tau),$$

where we used (1.6) to estimate the first integral and a standard rescaling to estimate the second one. By combining (4.14) with (4.19) and (4.20), it follows that (4.15) holds. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Remark 4.1. *Integrating* (1.2), *and using* (1.6) *on the right hand side, one easily obtains:*

$$\int_M b_k\, dV_g = 8\pi^2 N + O(\varepsilon_k^\tau).$$

APPENDIX A. THE GREEN'S FUNCTION FOR P_g

Let G denote the Green's function of P_g as in (1.3):

$$f(\xi) - \bar{f}_g = \int_M G(\xi, \eta) P_g f(\eta)\, dV_g(\eta), \tag{A.1}$$

where $\bar{f}_g = \mathrm{Vol}_g(M)^{-1} \int_M f \, dV_g$ is the mean value of f with respect to g. Clearly, G is determined up to an arbitrary function of ξ which we can fix by imposing the condition:

$$\int_M G(\xi,\eta)\, dV_g(\eta) = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

The purpose of this appendix is to prove the following lemma, which is an improvement on a result of Chang-Yang [9]:

Lemma A.1. *Let (M,g) be a compact closed 4-dimensional manifold, and suppose $\mathrm{Ker}(P_g) = \{constants\}$. Then the Green's function $G(\xi,\eta)$ with respect to P_g can be written as:*

$$G(\xi,\eta) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\chi(r)\log r + \beta(\xi,\eta) \tag{A.3}$$

where $r = d_g(\xi,\eta)$ is the geodesic distance between ξ and η, $\chi(r)$ is a cut off function that is 1 on a neighborhood of ξ and vanishes outside $B\big(\xi,\delta(\xi)/10\big)$, and $\delta(\xi)$ is the injectivity radius of (M,g) at ξ. Furthermore, $\beta(\xi,\eta) \in W^{4,q}(M \times M)$, for any $1 < q < \infty$ and satisfies:

$$\|\beta(\xi,\cdot)\|_{W^{4,q}(M)} \le C, \qquad \text{uniformly in } \xi \in M$$

for some constant $C = C(g,q)$. The principal part of G satisfies weakly:

$$P_{g,\eta}\left(-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\chi(r)\log d_g(\xi,\eta)\right) = \delta_\xi + E(\xi,\eta) \tag{A.4}$$

where E is a bounded function. Finally, we have $G(\xi,\eta) = G(\eta,\xi)$.

Proof of Lemma A.1: The weak form of (A.1) is:

$$P_{g,\eta}G(\xi,\eta) = \delta_\xi - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_g(M)}. \tag{A.5}$$

Let $\bar{g} = e^{2w}g$ be a metric conformal to g such that in a neighborhood of ξ the normal coordinates with respect to $\bar{g}$ are conformal normal coordinates, i.e., $\det(\bar{g}) = 1$. Similar to (A.5), we have for the Green's function G_1 of $P_{\bar{g}}$:

$$P_{\bar{g},\eta}G_1(\xi,\eta) = \delta_\xi - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_{\bar{g}}(M)}.$$

By the conformal covariance of $P_{\bar{g}}$ this is equivalent to:

$$e^{-4w}P_{g,\eta}G_1(\xi,\eta) = \delta_\xi - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_{\bar{g}}(M)}.$$

Therefore since $w(\xi) = 0$, we have:

$$P_{g,\eta}(G_1(\xi,\eta) - G(\xi,\eta)) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_g(M)} - \frac{e^{4w(\eta)}}{\mathrm{Vol}_{\bar{g}}(M)}.$$

Note that the integral of the right hand side is zero, hence there exists $F \in C^5(M \times M \setminus D)$ such that:

$$P_{g,\eta} F(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_g(M)} - \frac{e^{4w(\eta)}}{\mathrm{Vol}_{\bar{g}}(M)}, \qquad \|\nabla_\xi F_1(\xi,\cdot)\|_{C^4(\cdot)} \leq C.$$

In particular, $G_1 = G + F$, and the principal part of G and G_1 differ by a bounded function. In the following, we focus on G_1.

In conformal normal coordinates the point ξ corresponds to 0. We will identify $y \in T_\xi M$ with its image under the exponential map. We first write G_1 as in (A.3):

$$G_1(0,y) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\chi(\bar{r})\log \bar{r} + \beta_1(0,y), \tag{A.6}$$

where $\bar{r} = |y| = d_{\bar{g}}(0,y)$, and χ is a cut-off function. We will show that the principal part $H = -(1/8\pi^2)\chi(\bar{r})\log \bar{r}$ of G_1 satisfies weakly:

$$P_{\bar{g}} H(0,y) = \delta_0 + E_1(0,y). \tag{A.7}$$

where E_1 is a bounded function. Since $P_{\bar{g}}\beta_1(0,y) = -E_1(0,y)$, this will imply by elliptic theory that $\beta_1(0,\cdot) \in W^{4,q}(M) \subset C^{3,\alpha}(M)$ for any $1 < q < \infty$. Here we assume that $\chi \equiv 1$ in $B(0,\delta)$, $\chi \equiv 0$ in $M \setminus B(\xi, 2\delta)$, and $\det(\bar{g}) \equiv 1$ in $B(0,2\delta)$.

Observe that since $H(0,y)$ is radial and χ is supported in a small neighborhood of 0 where $\det(\bar{g}) = 1$, we have $\Delta_{\bar{g}}^2 H(0,y) = \Delta^2 H(0,y)$. Thus, it follows that for any smooth function ϕ:

$$\phi(0) = -\int_M \Delta_{\bar{g}}^2 H(0,y)\phi(y)\,dV_{\bar{g}}(y) + \int_M H(0,y)\Delta_{\bar{g}}^2\phi(y)\,dV_{\bar{g}}(y).$$

Clearly:

$$\int_M \Delta_{\bar{g}}^2 H(0,y)\phi(y)\,dV_{\bar{g}}(y) = \int_{B_{2\delta}\setminus B_\delta} \Delta_{\bar{g}}^2 H(0,y)\phi(y)\,dV_{\bar{g}}(y)$$

and $\Delta_{\bar{g}}^2 H(0,y)$ is a bounded smooth function on $B_{2\delta} \setminus B_\delta$. Hence weakly, we have:

$$\Delta_{\bar{g}}^2 H(0,y) = \delta_0 + \text{a bounded function}. \tag{A.8}$$

Also, $(P_{\bar g} - \Delta_{\bar g}^2)H(0,y) = A\chi +$ a bounded function, where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &= -\partial_m\left(\bar g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\bar g_{ij} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\right)\bar g^{lj}\partial_l\left(\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\log\bar r\right)\right)\\
&= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\partial_m\left(\bar g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\bar g_{ij} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\right)\bar g^{lj}\frac{y_l}{\bar r^2}\right)\\
&= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\partial_m\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\bar g^{mi}\right)\bar g^{lj}\frac{y_l}{\bar r^2}\right)\\
&= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\partial_m\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\partial_m\big(\bar R_{ij}(y)\bar g^{mi}\big)\right)\bar g^{lj}\frac{y_l}{\bar r^2}\right.\\
&\qquad + \left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\bar g^{mi}\right)\partial_m\bar g^{lj}\frac{y_l}{\bar r^2}\\
&\qquad \left.+ \left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\bar g^{mi}\right)\bar g^{lj}\frac{\delta_{lm}\bar r^2 - 2y_ly_m}{\bar r^4}\right)\\
&= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}(A_1 + A_2 + A_3).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\bar R$, $\bar R_{ij}$, and $\bar R_{ijkl}$ are used to denote the scalar, Ricci, and Riemann curvatures of the metric $\bar g$. The properties of conformal normal coordinates we used are listed in Appendix C. We estimate each of the three terms in the previous equation separately.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \left(\frac{2}{3}\partial_m\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\bar R_{ij,m}(y)\bar g^{mi} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\partial_m\bar g^{mi}\right)\bar g^{lj}\frac{y_l}{\bar r^2}\\
&= \left(\frac{2}{3}\partial_m\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\bar R_{ij,i}(y) - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\partial_m\bar g^{mi}\right)\frac{y_j}{\bar r^2} + O(\bar r)\\
&= -\frac{1}{3}\bar R_{,ij}(0)y_iy_j\bar r^{-2} + O(\bar r).
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second equality above, we used $\bar g^{mi} = \delta_{mi} + O(\bar r^2)$ and $\bar g^{lj} = \delta_{lj} + O(\bar r^2)$. In the third equality, we used $\partial_m\bar R(0) = 0$ and $2\bar R_{ij,im}(0) = \bar R_{,jm}(0)$, as well as $\partial_m\bar g^{mi} = O(\bar r^2)$. It is easy to see that $A_2 = O(\bar r)$ since $\bar R_{ij}(y) = O(\bar r)$, $\bar R(y) = O(\bar r^2)$, $\partial_m\bar g^{mi} = O(\bar r)$. Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= \left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\delta_{mj} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\bar g^{mi}\right)\bar g^{lj}\,\frac{\delta_{lm}\bar r^2 - 2y_ly_m}{\bar r^4}\\
&= \left(\frac{2}{3}\bar R(y)\bar g^{lm} - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\bar g^{mi}\bar g^{lj}\right)\,\frac{\delta_{lm}\bar r^2 - 2y_ly_m}{\bar r^4}\\
&= \left(\frac{4}{3}\bar R_{,ij}(0)y_iy_j\bar r^2 - \frac{4}{3}\bar R(y)\bar r^2 - 2\bar R_{ij}(y)\delta_{mi}\delta_{mj}\bar r^2\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad \left.+ 4\bar R_{ij}(y)\delta_{mi}\delta_{lj}y_ly_m\right)\bar r^{-4} + O(\bar r)\\
&= \left(-\frac{1}{3}\bar R_{,ij}(0)y_iy_j\bar r^2 + 2\bar R_{ml,ab}(0)y_ay_by_ly_m\right)\bar r^{-4} + O(\bar r).
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality we used $\bar{g}^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(\bar{r}^2)$, $\bar{R}(y) = O(\bar{r}^2)$, $\bar{R}_{ij}(y) = O(\bar{r})$, and in the fourth equality we used $\bar{R}_{ij,l}(0)y^i y^j y^l = 0$. Combining the estimates for A_1, A_2 and A_3, we obtain:

$$A = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\bar{R}_{,ij}(0)y_i y_j \bar{r}^{-2} - 2\bar{R}_{ij,lm}(0)y_i y_j y_l y_m \bar{r}^{-4}\right) + O(\bar{r}).$$

Finally, this last estimate, together with (A.8) yields (A.7) as claimed earlier.

Rewriting (A.7) in integral form, we get:

$$\phi(\xi) = \int_M H(0,y)P_{\bar{g}}\phi(y)\,dV_{\bar{g}}(y) - \int_M E_1(0,y)\phi(y)\,dV_{\bar{g}}(y). \tag{A.9}$$

Note that $E_1(0,\cdot)$ is supported in $B(\xi,2\delta) \setminus B(\xi,\delta)$. In this small neighborhood, let $\eta = \exp_\xi(y)$, where $\exp_\xi\colon T_\xi(M) \to M$ is the exponential map with respect to the metric g. Thus, recalling that the principal parts of G and G_1 differ only by a bounded function, we may rewrite (A.9) as:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\xi) = &-\int_{B(\xi,2\delta)} \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\chi(r)\,\log d_g(\xi,\eta)\,P_g\phi(\eta)\,dV_g(\eta)\\ &-\int_{B(\xi,2\delta)\setminus B(\xi,\delta)} E_1(\xi,\eta)\,\phi(\eta)\,e^{4w(\eta)}\,dV_g(\eta).\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Written weakly, this reads:

$$P_g\left(-\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\chi(r)\,\log d_g(\xi,\eta)\right) = \delta_\xi + \text{a bounded function},$$

as claimed in Lemma A.1.

Finally we show $G(\xi,\eta) = G(\eta,\xi)$. This part of the proof is similar to the proof in [3, page 108]. We include it here for completeness. We first claim:

$$\int_M G(\eta,\xi)\,dV_g(\eta) = \text{constant}. \tag{A.11}$$

Indeed, let $f(\xi) = \int_M G(\eta,\xi)\,dV_g(\eta)$, then for any $\phi \in C^4(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M f(\xi)P_{g,\xi}\phi(\xi)\,dV_g(\xi) &= \int_M \left(\int_M G(\eta,\xi)P_{g,\xi}\phi(\xi)\,dV_g(\xi)\right)\,dV_g(\eta)\\ &= \int_M (\phi(\eta) - \bar{\phi}_g)\,dV_g(\eta) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $P_g f = 0$ in weakly, and since $\mathrm{Ker}(P_g) = \{\text{constants}\}$, we have proved (A.11). Next for any $\phi \in C^4(M)$,

$$\phi(\eta) - \bar{\phi}_g = \int_M G(\eta,\xi)P_{g,\xi}\phi(\xi)\,dV_g(\xi).$$

hence for any $\psi \in C^4(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M P_{g,\xi}\phi(\xi)\psi(\xi)\,dV_g(\xi) &= \int_M \phi(\eta)P_{g,\eta}\psi(\eta)\,dV_g(\eta)\\ &= \int_M \Big(\int_M G(\eta,\xi)P_{g,\xi}\phi(\xi)\,dV_g(\xi)+\bar{\phi}_g\Big)P_{g,\eta}\psi(\eta)\,dV_g(\eta)\\ &= \int_M \Big(\int_M G(\eta,\xi)P_{g,\eta}\psi(\eta)\,dV_g(\eta)\Big)P_{g,\xi}\phi(\xi)\,dV_g(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Ker}(P_g)=\{\text{constants}\}$, we obtain

$$\psi(\xi)=\int_M G(\eta,\xi)P_{g,\eta}\psi(\eta)\,dV_g(\eta)+\text{constant}.$$

Thus, using also the definition of $G(\xi,\eta)$, we obtain

$$\int_M (G(\xi,\eta)-G(\eta,\xi))P_{g,\eta}\psi(\eta)\,dV_g(\eta)=\text{constant}.$$

Integrating with respect to ξ and using (A.2) and (A.11), we see that the constant on the right hand side above is 0. Thus, again by (P) we have

$$G(\xi,\eta)-G(\eta,\xi)=C.$$

where C is independent of η. Since the left-hand side is clearly antisymmetric with respect to ξ and η, we obtain $G(\xi,\eta)=G(\eta,\xi)$. This completes the proof of Lemma A.1. ☐

APPENDIX B. COMPARISON BETWEEN $d_{\breve{g}}(y,z)$ AND $|y-z|$

In this subsection we establish the following estimate:

(B.1)
$$D_y^j\big(\log|y-z|-\log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)\big)=O(\varepsilon_k^2|y|^{2-j}),\ \text{for } |z|<\frac{|y|}{2} \text{ and } |y|<\delta_1\varepsilon_k^{-1},$$

for $j=1,2,3$. We recall that $\breve{g}_k=\varepsilon_k^{-2}\phi_* g_k$ is the blow-up metric, and we identify $y,z\in T_0M$ with $\exp y$ and $\exp z$ respectively, where exp is the exponential map at the origin with respect to the metric $\breve{g}_k$. Thus, $d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z)=d_{\breve{g}_k}(\exp y,\exp z)$.

Fix y and consider

$$f(z)=\log|y-z|-\log d_{\breve{g}_k}(y,z),\qquad \text{on } |z|<\frac{2}{3}|y|,$$

as a function of z. We shall obtain the following estimate:

$$|D_z^j f(z)|\le C\varepsilon_k^2|y|^{2-j},\qquad \text{for } |z|<|y|/2,\ j=1,2,3. \tag{B.2}$$

Then since $D_y^j f(z)=(-1)^j D_z^j f(z)$, (B.1) follows.

Let $\breve{R}$, $\breve{R}_{ij}$, and $\breve{R}_{ijkl}$ respectively denote the scalar, Ricci, and Riemann curvature of $\breve{g}$, where we suppress the subscript k. By the definitions of $\breve{g}$ and $\breve{R}^i_{jlm}(z)$ one obtains easily that

$$\nabla^j\breve{R}^i_{jml}(z)=O(\varepsilon_k^{2+j}),\quad j=0,1,2.$$

As a consequence, using $\breve{R}(0) = |\nabla \breve{R}(0)| = \breve{R}_{ij}(0) = 0$, we have further:

$$\breve{R}(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^4 |z|^2), \quad \breve{R}_{ij}(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^3 |z|). \tag{B.3}$$

We also note the following simple estimate on $d_{\breve{g}}$:

$$|D_z^j (\log d_{\breve{g}}(y,z))| \leq C|y-z|^{-j}, \qquad j = 1,2,3,4. \tag{B.4}$$

We shall now derive an estimate on $\Delta_{\breve{g}}^2 f(z)$. By (A.4) and the definition of $\breve{g}$, we have:

$$P_{\breve{g},z} \log d_{\breve{g}}(y,z) = O(\varepsilon_k^4). \qquad |z| < \frac{2}{3}|y|, \qquad |y| \leq \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}. \tag{B.5}$$

Next, using (B.3) and (B.4), we can estimate the term:

$$\begin{aligned} &(P_{\breve{g}} - \Delta_{\breve{g}}^2) \log d_{\breve{g}}(y,z) \\ &\quad = \partial_m \left(\breve{g}^{mi} \left(\frac{2}{3} \breve{R}(z) \breve{g}_{ij} - 2\breve{R}_{ij}(z) \right) \breve{g}^{lj} \partial_j (\log d_{\breve{g}}(y,z)) \right) = O(\varepsilon_k^3 |y|^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (B.5), we get:

$$\Delta_{\breve{g},z}^2 (\log d_{\breve{g}}(y,z)) = O(\varepsilon_k^3 |y|^{-1}), \qquad |z| < \frac{2}{3}|y|, \qquad |y| \leq \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}. \tag{B.6}$$

Finally, we consider the term $\Delta_{\breve{g},z}^2 (\log|y-z|)$. Since $\Delta_z^2(\log|y-z|) = 0$, it suffices to estimate $\Delta_{\breve{g},z}^2 - \Delta_z^2$. For any function u, we have, by direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\breve{g},z}^2 u = {} & \breve{g}^{ab} \breve{g}^{ij} \partial_{ijab} u + 2\partial_{ija} u (\partial_b \breve{g}^{ab} \breve{g}^{ij} + \breve{g}^{ab} \partial_b \breve{g}^{ij}) \\ & + \partial_{ij} u (\partial_a \breve{g}^{ab} \partial_b \breve{g}^{ij} + 2\breve{g}^{ai} \partial_{ab} \breve{g}^{bj} + \breve{g}^{ab} \partial_{ab} \breve{g}^{ij} + \partial_a \breve{g}^{ia} \partial_b \breve{g}^{bj}) \\ & + \partial_j u (\partial_a \breve{g}^{ab} \partial_{ib} \breve{g}^{ij} + \breve{g}^{ab} \partial_{iab} \breve{g}^{ij}). \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where we used $\det(\breve{g}) = 1$. Using the expansion of $\breve{g}_{ij}(z)$:

$$\breve{g}_{ij}(z) = \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_k^2 \hat{R}_{pijq}(0) z^{ij} + O(\varepsilon_k^3 |z|^3).$$

where $z^{ij} = z^i z^j$, and replacing u by $\log|y-z|$ in (B.7), we obtain:

$$\Delta_{\breve{g},z}^2 (\log|y-z|) = O(\varepsilon_k^2 |y|^{-2}), \qquad |z| < \frac{2}{3}|y|, \qquad |y| \leq \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}$$

and consequently

$$\Delta_{\breve{g},z}^2 f(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^2 |y|^{-2}), \qquad |z| < \frac{2}{3}|y|, \qquad |y| \leq \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}. \tag{B.8}$$

An estimate on the L^∞ norm of $f(z)$ is easily obtained:

$$d_{\breve{g}}(y,z) = \int_z^y \sqrt{\breve{g}_{ij}(t) x_i'(t) x_j'(t)}\, dt = |y-z|(1 + O(\varepsilon_k^2 (|y|^2 + |z|^2))). \tag{B.9}$$

Note that here we didn't need the assumption: $|z| < \frac{2}{3}|y|$. From (B.9), we clearly have

$$f(z) = O(\varepsilon_k^2 |y|^2) \qquad |z| < \frac{2}{3}|y|, \qquad |y| < \delta_1 \varepsilon_k^{-1}. \tag{B.10}$$

With (B.8) and (B.10), we can apply the standard rescaling argument and elliptic theory to derive (B.2).

APPENDIX C. CONFORMAL NORMAL COORDINATES

In this subsection we list some well known facts for convenience. Let g be a metric on M and let $p \in M$ be a point. We emphasize that g and u are unrelated to the corresponding quantities in other sections. Suppose that in normal coordinates around p the metric g satisfies $\det(g) = 1$, i.e., those normal coordinates are conformal normal coordinates at p. We will denote p as 0 and consider the properties of g in a neighborhood of 0.

First, since $\det(g) = 1$, the Laplacian is given by:

$$\Delta_g u = \partial_j(g^{ij}\partial_j u) = \partial_j g^{ij}\partial_j u + g^{ij}\partial_{ij} u. \tag{C.1}$$

The second term $P_g u - \Delta_g^2 u$ of the Paneitz operator is given by:

$$\operatorname{div}_g\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}Rg - 2\operatorname{Ric}\right) du\right) = \partial_m\left(\left(\frac{2}{3}Rg_{ij} - 2R_{ij}\right)\partial_l u\, g^{lj}\, g^{mi}\right). \tag{C.2}$$

Further properties of conformal normal coordinates include:

$$R_{ij}(0) = 0 \tag{C.3}$$

$$R_{ij,k}(0) + R_{jk,i}(0) + R_{ki,j}(0) = 0 \tag{C.4}$$

$$\nabla R(0) = 0 \tag{C.5}$$

$$\Delta R(0) = -\frac{1}{6}|W(0)|^2 \tag{C.6}$$

$$R_{(ij,kl)}(0) + \frac{2}{9}R_{(pijm}(0)R_{pklm)}(0) = 0 \tag{C.7}$$

$$R_{pijq,p}(0) = R_{iq,j}(0) - R_{ij,q}(0). \tag{C.8}$$

Here, we use the round brackets as the customary notation for the symmetric part with respect to all indices not contracted within a certain scope.

In normal (not necessarily conformal normal) coordinates, there holds:

$$g_{ab}(\xi) = \delta_{ab} + \frac{1}{3}R_{aijb}(0)\xi^{ij} + \frac{1}{6}R_{aijb,k}(0)\xi^{ijk} + O(r^4), \quad r = |\xi| \tag{C.9}$$

and consequently, the same expansion holds for the inverse:

$$g^{ab}(\xi) = \delta_{ab} - \frac{1}{3}R_{aijb}(0)\xi^{ij} - \frac{1}{6}R_{aijb,k}(0)\xi^{ijk} + O(r^4). \tag{C.10}$$

Here ξ^{ij} denotes $\xi^i\,\xi^j$, etc.

Taking a derivative:

$$\partial_c g^{ab}(\xi) = -\frac{2}{3}R_{a(ci)b}(0)\xi^i - \frac{1}{6}\big(2R_{a(ci)b,j}(0) + R_{aijb,c}(0)\big)\xi^{ij} + O(r^3). \tag{C.11}$$

Contracting over to a and c and using (C.8):

$$\partial_a g^{ab}(\xi) = -\frac{1}{6}\big(2R_{ib,j}(0) - R_{ij,b}(0)\big)\xi^{ij} + O(r^3). \tag{C.12}$$

Taking another derivative in (C.11):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{cd}g^{ab}(\xi) = &-\frac{2}{3}R_{a(cd)b}(0)\\ &-\frac{1}{3}\big(R_{a(cd)b,i}(0)+R_{iba(c,d)}(0)-R_{aib(c,d)}(0)\big)\xi^i + O(r^2).\end{aligned}\tag{C.13}$$

Contracting over a and c and using (C.8) and (C.3):

$$\partial_{ad}g^{ab}(\xi) = \frac{2}{3}R_{id,b}(0)\xi^i + O(r^2).\tag{C.14}$$

Appendix D. A Pohozaev Identity

In this appendix, we derive a Pohozaev identity for the equation

$$P_g u + 2b = 2he^{4u}.\tag{D.1}$$

Throughout, we assume that $\det(g) = 1$ over Ω which we take to be a ball centered at 0.

First, multiplying the right hand side of (D.1) by $\xi^i\partial_i u$ and integrating by parts, we have:

$$\int_\Omega 2he^{4u}\xi^i\partial_i u = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega} he^{4u}\xi^i\nu_i - \int_\Omega (2he^{4u} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^i\partial_i he^{4u}),$$

where ν_i is the unit normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Also note that we omitted to write here, as we will throughout this appendix, the volume element dV_g in the integral over Ω, and the area element dA_g in the integral over $\partial\Omega$.

Next, we consider the term $\Delta_g^2 u$ on the right hand side of (D.1). Again, multiplying by the same factor $\xi\cdot\nabla u$ and integrating by parts, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \Delta_g^2 u\,(\xi\cdot\nabla u) &= \int_\Omega \partial_i\big(g^{ij}\partial_j(\Delta_g u)\big)\,\xi^k\partial_k u\\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} g^{ij}\partial_j(\Delta_g u)\,\xi^k\partial_k u\,\nu_i - \int_\Omega g^{ij}\partial_j(\Delta_g u)\,\partial_i(\xi^k\partial_k u)\\ &= I_1 - \int_\Omega g^{ij}\partial_j(\Delta_g u)\,\partial_i u - \int_\Omega g^{ij}\partial_j(\Delta_g u)\,\xi^k\partial_{ik}u\\ &= I_1 - \int_{\partial\Omega} g^{ij}\Delta_g u\,\partial_i u\,\nu_j + \int_\Omega (\Delta_g u)^2 - \int_{\partial\Omega} g^{ij}\Delta_g u\,\xi^k\partial_{ik}u\,\nu_j\\ &\qquad + \int_\Omega \Delta_g u\,\partial_j(g^{ij}\xi^k\partial_{ik}u)\\ &= I_1 - I_2 + \int_\Omega (\Delta_g u)^2 - I_3 + \int_\Omega g^{ij}\Delta_g u\,\partial_{ij}u + \int_\Omega \Delta_g u\,\xi^k\partial_j(g^{ij}\partial_{ik}u).\end{aligned}$$

Here I_1, I_2 and I_3 are boundary integrals. In order to compute the last two terms we now note:

$$\begin{gathered}g^{ij}\partial_{ij}u = \Delta_g u - \partial_i g^{ij}\partial_j u\\ \partial_j(g^{ij}\partial_{ik}u) = \partial_k(\Delta_g u) - \partial_{ik}g^{ij}\partial_j u - \partial_k g^{ij}\partial_{ij}u.\end{gathered}$$

These imply:

$$\int_\Omega g^{ij}\Delta_g u\,\partial_{ij}u = \int_\Omega (\Delta_g u)^2 - \int_\Omega \Delta_g u\,\partial_i g^{ij}\partial_j u = \int_\Omega (\Delta_g u)^2 - B_3,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \Delta_g u\,\xi^k\partial_j(g^{ij}\partial_{ik}u)\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega \xi^k\Delta_g u\,\partial_k(\Delta_g u) - \int_\Omega \xi^k\Delta_g u\,\partial_{ik}g^{ij}\partial_j u - \int_\Omega \xi^k\Delta_g u\,\partial_k g^{ij}\partial_{ij}u\\
&\qquad\qquad= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \xi^k\partial_k\left((\Delta_g u)^2\right) - B_1 - B_2\\
&\qquad\qquad\quad= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial\Omega}(\xi\cdot\nu)(\Delta_g u)^2 - 2\int_\Omega(\Delta_g u)^2 - B_1 - B_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude:

$$\int_\Omega \Delta_g^2 u\,(\xi\cdot\nabla u) = I_1 - I_2 - I_3 + \frac{1}{2}I_4 - B_1 - B_2 - B_3.$$

Finally, we consider the second term in the Paneitz operator. As before, we multiply by $\xi^k\partial_k u$ and integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \partial_m\left(g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}R(\xi)\,g_{ij} - 2R_{ij}(\xi)\right)g^{lj}\partial_l u\right)\xi^k\partial_k u\\
&\qquad= \int_{\partial\Omega} g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}R(\xi)\,g_{ij} - 2R_{ij}(\xi)\right)g^{lj}\partial_l u\,\xi^k\partial_k u\,\nu_m\\
&\qquad\quad - \int_\Omega g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}R(\xi)\,g_{ij} - 2R_{ij}(\xi)\right)g^{lj}\partial_l u\,(\partial_m u + \xi^k\partial_{mk}u)\\
&\qquad= C_1 - \int_\Omega g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}R(\xi)\,g_{ij} - 2R_{ij}(\xi)\right)g^{lj}\partial_l u\,(\partial_m u + \xi^k\partial_{mk}u)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $R_{ij}(0) = 0$, $R(0) = 0$, and $\partial_i R(0) = 0$, we see that C_1 above can be written as

$$C_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega}(-2R_{ij,l}(0)\,\partial_j u\,\partial_k u\,\xi^l\,\xi^k\,\nu_i + O(r^3)|Du|^2), \quad r = |\xi|.$$

We also estimate the second term:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega g^{mi}\left(\frac{2}{3}R(\xi)\,g_{ij} - 2R_{ij}(\xi)\right)\,\partial_l u\,g^{lj}(\partial_m u + \xi^k\partial_{mk}u)\\
&= \int_\Omega\left((O(r^2) - 2R_{ij,s}(0)\,\xi^s)\,\partial_l u\,g^{lj}(\partial_i u + \xi^k\,\partial_{ik}u) + O(r^3)\,|Du|\,(|Du| + r|D^2u|)\right)\\
&= \int_\Omega\left((O(r^2) - 2R_{ij,s}(0)\,\xi^s)\,\partial_j u\,(\partial_i u + \xi^k\,\partial_{ik}u) + O(r^3)\,|Du|\,(|Du| + r|D^2u|)\right)\\
&\qquad= \int_\Omega\left(-2R_{ij,l}(0)\,\xi^l\partial_j u(\partial_i u + \xi^k\,\partial_{ik}u) + O(r^2)|Du|^2 + O(r^4)|D^2u|\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, putting all the estimates together, we obtain the final form of our Pohozaev Identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{(D.2)}\quad & \int_\Omega \left(2he^{4u} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^i\,\partial_i he^{4u}\right) \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}he^u\xi^i\,\nu_i - g^{ij}\partial_i(\Delta_g u)\,\partial_k u\,\xi^k\,\nu_j + g^{ij}\,\Delta_g u\,\partial_i u\,\nu_j\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. + g^{ij}\,\Delta_g u\,\xi^k\,\partial_{ik}u\,\nu_j - \frac{1}{2}\,(\Delta_g u)^2\,\xi^i\,\nu_i\right) \\
&+ \int_\Omega \left(\Delta_g u\,\partial_i\,g^{ij}\,\partial_j u + \xi^k\,\Delta_g u\,\partial_{ik}\,g^{ij}\,\partial_j u + \xi^k\,\Delta_g u\,\partial_k g^{ij}\,\partial_{ij}u - 2b\,\xi^i\,\partial_i u\right) \\
&\qquad + 2\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(R_{ij,l}(0)\,\partial_j u\,\partial_k u\,\xi^l\,\xi^k\,\nu_i + O(r^3)|Du|^2\right) \\
&- \int_\Omega \left(2R_{ij,l}(0)(\partial_j u\,\partial_i u\,\xi^l + \partial_j u\,\partial_{ik}u\,\xi^k\,\xi^l) + O(r^2)|Du|^2 + O(r^4)|D^2u|\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] Adimurthi, F. Robert and M. Struwe, *Concentration phenomena for Liouville's equation in dimension four*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **8** (2006) no. 2, 171–180.

[2] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55** (1976), 269–296.

[3] T. Aubin, *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[4] S. Brendle, *Convergence of the Q-curvature flow on S4*, Adv. Math. **205** (2006) no. 1, 1–32.

[5] F. E. Browder, *On the regularity properties of solutions of elliptic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (1956), 351–361.

[6] J. G. Cao, *The existence of generalized isothermal coordinates for higher-dimensional Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **324** (1991) no. 2, 901–920.

[7] S. A. Chang, *On a fourth-order partial differential equation in conformal geometry*, in *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (Chicago, IL, 1996)*, 127–150, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1999.

[8] S. A. Chang, J. Qing and P. Yang, *Some progress in conformal geometry*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **3** (2007), Paper 122.

[9] S. A. Chang and P. Yang, *Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds*, Annals of Math **142** (1995), 171–212.

[10] S. A. Chang and P. Yang, *On a fourth order curvature invariant*, in *Spectral problems in geometry and arithmetic (Iowa City, IA, 1997)*, 9–28, Contemp. Math. **237**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[11] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The Global Nonlinear Stabililty of Minkowski Space*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 41, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.

[12] Z. Djadli and A. Malchiodi, *A fourth order uniformization theorem on some four manifolds with large total Q-curvature*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340** (2005) no. 5, 341–346.

[13] Z. Djadli and A. Malchiodi, *Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature*, Ann. of Math., to appear.

[14] A. Douglis and L. Nirenber, *Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (1955) 503–538.

[15] O. Druet and F. Robert, *Bubbling phenomena for fourth-order four-dimensional PDEs with exponential growth*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006) no. 3, 897–908.

[16] C. Fefferman and K. Hirachi, *Ambient metric construction of Q-curvature in conformal and CR-geometries*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003) no. 5-6, 819–831.

[17] M. Gursky, *The principle eigenvalue of a conformally invariant differential operator, with an application to semi-linear elliptic PDE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 131–143.

[18] M. Gursky and J. Viaclovsky, *A fully nonlinear equation on four-manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **63** (2003) no. 1, 131–154.

[19] M. Gursky and J. Viaclovsky, A fully nonlinear equation on four-manifolds with positive scalar curvature J. Differential Geom. **63** (2003) no. 1, 131–154.

[20] A. I. Košelev, *On the boundedness in L_p of derivatives of solutions of elliptic equations and elliptic systems*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **116** (1957) (542)544.

[21] J. M. Lee and T. H. Parker, *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **17** (1987) no. 1, 37–91.

[22] J. Li, Y. Li and P. Liu, *The Q-curvature on a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g) with $\int_M Q\,dV_g = 8\pi^2$*, arXiv, math/0608543.

[23] C. S. Lin, *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998) no. 2, 206–231.

[24] C. S. Lin and J. C. Wei, *Sharp Estimates For Bubbling Solutions of A Fourth Order Mean Field Equation*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci., to appear.

[25] A. Malchiodi, *Compactness of solutions to some geometric fourth-order equations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **594** (2006), 137–174.

[26] A. Malchiodi, *Conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **3** (2007), Paper 120.

[27] A. Malchiodi and M. Struwe, *Q-curvature flow on S^4*, J. Differential Geom. **73** **2006** no. 1, 1–44.

[28] C. B. Ndiaye, *Constant Q-curvature metrics in arbitrary dimension*, J. Funct. Anal. **251** (2007) no. 1, 1–58.

[29] L. Nirenberg, *Estimates and existence of solutions of elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (1956), 509–529.

[30] J. Qing and D. Raske, *Compactness for conformal metrics with constant Q curvature on locally conformally flat manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **26** (2006) no. 3, 343–356.

[31] F. Robert and J. C. Wei, *Asymptotic behavior of a fourth order mean field equation with Dirichlet boundary condition*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.

[32] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), 479–495.

[33] M. Struwe, *Quantization for a fourth order equation with critical exponential growth*, (English summary) Math. Z. **256** no. 2 (2007) 397–424.

[34] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) **22** (1968), 265–274.

[35] J. Wei, *Asymptotic behavior of a nonlinear fourth order eigenvalue problem*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **21** (1996) no. 9-10, 1451–1467.

[36] J. Wei and X. Xu, *On conformal deformations of metrics on S^n*, J. Funct. Anal. **157** (1998) no. 1, 292–325..

[37] G. Weinstein, *The Poincaré Uniformization Theorem*, unpublished note, `http://www.math.uab.edu/weinstei/notes/poincare.pdf`.

[38] Y. Xu, *An estimate on the blowing-up solutions of a fourth-order equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **251** (2007) no. 1, 360–375.
[39] H. Yamabe, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J. **12** (1960) 21–37.

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35205
E-mail address: `weinstein@uab.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35205
E-mail address: `leizhang@math.uab.edu`